EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

The UK is a country of entrenched place-based inequalities which have persisted for generations and are more extreme in the UK than most OECD countries. The Covid-19 pandemic and Brexit have combined to move these place-based inequalities to centre stage in public debate – alongside a search for effective and sustainable ways of tackling them. The need for more public investment is undeniable and the political will appears to be in place. There is now a golden opportunity for responsible, patient private capital to step in, match public investment and deliver positive environmental and social impact in places and communities across the country.

Currently only a small fraction of UK pension money is invested directly in the UK in ways that could drive more inclusive and sustainable development, in sectors like affordable housing, small and medium-enterprise (SME) finance, clean energy, infrastructure and regeneration. This white paper offers a place-based approach to scaling up institutional capital, including pension fund investment, into opportunities that enhance local economic resilience and contribute to sustainable development, creating tangible benefits for people, communities and businesses across the UK.

If we manage to accomplish this, the UK will be creating bridges between London and the rest of the country, and bridges between financial capital and the real economy.

Place-based approaches to tackling deep-seated social and spatial inequalities are now the norm internationally and they are relatively advanced in the UK. The current UK Government’s levelling up policies are consistent with a place-based approach. With the costs to the nation of levelling up expected to exceed £1 trillion over the next 10 years, it is clear public investment in the £4.8bn Levelling Up Fund and associated government initiatives are real economy sectors and investment opportunity areas that fall within institutional investment strategies and asset classes.

The levelling up agenda goes hand-in-hand with the climate change agenda where pension funds already have a strong focus, including how to build net zero portfolios. Delivering these two goals together would support a ‘just transition’ to a net zero economy that supports green job creation and simultaneously delivers environmental, economic and social benefits across the UK.

We should emphasise, however, that we see place-based impact investment (PBII) as a new paradigm and lens for investors more generally. We envision PBII as a confluence of capital from commercial, social and public investors that together places and investors around five ‘pillars’, underpinned by specific policy objectives and investment opportunities that enhance local economic resilience and contribute to sustainable development.

Environmental, social and governance (ESG) integration and alignment with the Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs) are becoming increasingly important to investment strategies, and there is a legacy and current interest in local investing. If all LGPS funds were to allocate 5% to local investing, this would unlock £16 billion for local investing, more than matching public investment in the £4.8bn Levelling Up Fund and associated government initiatives.

The PBII Conceptual model provides a place-based lens.

A PLACE-BASED APPROACH TO IMPACT INVESTING

We define place-based impact investment as:

Investments made with the intention to yield appropriate risk-adjusted financial returns as well as positive local impact, with a focus on addressing the needs of specific places to enhance local economic resilience, prosperity and sustainable development.

We present an original conceptual model of PBII that brings together places and investors around five ‘pillars’, underpinned by a solid social and financial rationale for investing (see Section 2). The five pillars are dual structures. On the one hand, they represent policy objectives and priority areas in local and regional development strategies. On the other hand, the pillars are real economy sectors and investment opportunity areas that fall within institutional investment strategies and asset classes.

THE FINANCIAL CASE FOR PBII

For institutional investment to flow to PBII, it needs to meet the commercial investment requirements of LGPS funds and other institutional investors. We carried out original analysis of market data which demonstrates that investments within the sectors that are key to PBII - affordable housing, SME finance, clean energy, infrastructure and regeneration – can deliver risk-adjusted financial returns in line with institutional investor requirements. Specifically:

- Investments in these key sectors provide stable, high, long-term returns and low volatility versus other mainstream asset classes.
- Investments in most of these sectors are generally in real assets, such as housing and infrastructure, so can also provide income streams.
- These assets are generally illiquid which often command higher returns, hence, are attractive from a portfolio diversification and financial return perspective.

The universe of assets is, however, comparatively small and often in the private markets, suggesting a need for manager selection and a deeper understanding of the risks by interested institutional investors.

THE OPPORTUNITY FOR LGPS FUNDS

Currently the scale of PBII is very limited. Our baseline analysis of investment activity by LGPS funds in sectors that are key for PBII found that:

- Few pension funds demonstrate intentionality to invest with a local place-based lens. We were only able to identify six LGPS funds out of a representative sample of 50 that have a stated intention to make place-based investments: Cambridgeshire, Croydon, Greater Manchester, Strathclyde, Tyne and Wear and West Midlands. Of these, only Greater Manchester has an approved allocation to invest up to 5% of its capital locally.
- There is a very low level of investing into key PBII sectors. Only 2.4% of the total value of LGPS funds holdings are in these key sectors, of which only 1.5% of total assets (£3.2 billion) is clearly identifiable as directly invested in these sectors within the UK. Infrastructure dominates in terms of the scale of investment. SME finance provides the most opportunities for direct local and regional investment through specialist fund managers.
- Key sector allocations are generally relatively small size, averaging £10 million and contrasting the myth that pension funds can only make large allocations in the £50 million to £100 million range.

Central to PBII is creating an alignment of interest and action among all stakeholders in shared impact creation for the benefit of local people and places. Stakeholder consultation and engagement is therefore a fundamental to PBII. This type of investing is about ‘boots on the ground rather than eyes on screens’.

The white paper also defines five traits that define and distinguish PBII as an investment approach:

1. Impact intentionality
2. Definition of place
3. Stakeholder engagement
4. Impact measurement, management and reporting
5. Collaboration.
There are challenges to PBII but none of these are hard barriers. Those LGPS funds that have a commitment to the PBII model within existing national strategies that aim to tackle regional inequalities, such as the Devolution and Growth Deals, the National Infrastructure Strategy, the Industrial Strategy, the Climate Change Adaptation Strategy and the Deals, the National Infrastructure Strategy, the Industrial Strategy, the Climate Change Adaptation Strategy and the

_**Among the three main challenges are:**_

- **Traditional mindsets** whereby institutional investors allocate capital to the global capital markets without giving full consideration to whether allocations closer to home could deliver comparable returns and diversification while benefitting the development needs of local communities.

- **Fears of conflicts of interest** make LGPS managers wary of being accused of succumbing to political pressures that undermine their fiduciary responsibility.

- **Capacity constraints** and having the time, expertise and skills to source and carry out due diligence on PBII opportunities are the most limiting factors to scaling up these types of investments.

It appears that the universal requirement to scaling up PBII is an increase in operational resource across the ecosystem to prepare, identify and do due diligence on PBII investments, including building expertise within local authority teams, LGPS investment teams and consultants. In order to meet this capacity challenge, we observed approaches we broadly classify as ‘building’ capabilities, ‘buying in the skills or ‘borrowing’ resources. Section 4.4 provides examples of how different LGPS funds have used these strategies to make local investments.

Many UK fund managers expressed frustration that it is easier to raise capital from foreign pension funds than it is from UK pension funds. This is in part because these foreign pension funds are larger with teams that are more experienced in private market investing who proactively seek out UK opportunities.

Pension pools are building their capacity and skills in private markets investing and could potentially also play an important role in scaling up PBII. There are eight pension pools in England and Wales which were established as a means for individual LGPS funds to invest collectively so leveraging scale to improve investment opportunities and reduce costs.

**Impact reporting framework**

Evidencing the achievement of place-based impact is fundamental to PBII. TIG convened a working group of LGPS funds, local authorities and fund managers to develop a common approach to impact measurement, management and reporting.

We used the PBII pillars to provide a set of common impact objectives that are relevant from both a local government policy and investment perspective. We also created a reporting framework that provides a core metrics set to report back on PBII activity.

A key way to ensure this is a useful and practical approach to impact reporting is for LGPS funds to communicate with their members in a clear and straightforward manner about their place-based investment activity.

**Call to action**

We have presented PBII as a new paradigm for institutional investment using the LGPS to explore its implications for thinking and doing things differently. We see this paradigm as potentially having a much bigger reach: the aim should be for PBII to become a main investment theme in the next decade for the UK’s leading pension funds.

Successful adoption of PBII through projects that are appropriately planned, designed and financed would help reduce place-based inequalities. However, this also requires deploying the PBII model within existing national strategies that aim to tackle regional inequalities, such as the Devolution and Growth Deals, the National Infrastructure Strategy, the Industrial Strategy, the Climate Change Adaptation Strategy and the level up programmes. PBII can also provide an umbrella framework for local investment partnerships between commercial impact investors, local and central government, social investors (including foundations) and local anchor institutions, such as housing associations and universities.

Levelling up is about creating this landscape of investment activity with hundreds of PBII projects underway right across the country, and with inequality within and between places diminishing over the next decade. This is what success looks like.

We recommend five areas for action to scale up PBII. We want to change the traditional investment paradigm and scale up investment in PBII for the benefit of communities across the UK. Hence, we need to raise awareness and strengthen the identity of PBII as an investment approach that could contribute to inclusive and sustainable development across the UK, whilst achieving the risk-adjusted, long-term financial returns required by institutional investors. This requires actions that raise awareness, increase capacity and competency, promote place-based impact reporting, connect investors and PBII opportunities and scale up institutional grade investment products. Section 6 provides details of these priority areas and a call for action to all market actors to engage in the PBII agenda.

If we manage to accomplish this, the UK will be creating bridges between London and the rest of the country, and bridges between financial capital and the real economy. Bridge-building calls for collaboration and a sharing of money and method, with impact investors of all kinds working closely with place-based stakeholders from business, government and community to get things done. There is a need for mutual learning and understanding, as we have emphasised throughout this report.

---

**Final reflection**

Behind all of the discussion in this white paper is the idea that if we can get PBII right and launched across the country – as a top national priority within the build back better and levelling up agendas – then it is not unrealistic to expect the UK to approach 2030 as a landscape where place-based inequalities are becoming a thing of the past. Much of this report is about ‘getting there’.

---
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